

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,558	11/25/2003	Timucin Ozugur	139164	5827	
24587 ALCATEL LU	7590 08/22/200 ICENT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			JOSEPH, TONYA S		
PLANO, TX 7:	NO PARKWAY, MS L 5075	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3628			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/721,558	OZUGUR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TONYA JOSEPH	3628	

Т	TONYA JOSEPH	3628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 07/28/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.						
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WARS FILED WITHIN TWO							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourset 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any exemple patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.736(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a cor NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. So proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or mended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \( \subseteq  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \( \subseteq \text{See Continuation Sheet.} \)								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
	/Igor N. Borissov/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3628						

Applicant argues that the modification of Kanaan to include Ginsburg would change the principle operation of Kanaan and render Kanaan unsatisfactory for it's intended purpose. Examiner disagrees. Applicant alleges that the result of a modification of Kanaan with Ginsburg would be the displaying of a wait time which, 'may be inaccurate'. Examiner notes: While Applicant suggests that the time may be inaccurate, Applicant also admits that the time may be accurate. Applicant has failed to provide any factual evidence to support the asserted statements. Absent this evidence Applicant's statements are merely unsupported opinion and are not sufficient to overcome the rejections. The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 346 F.24 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965); In re Geisler, 116 F.34 1465, 43 USPQQ 1382 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the rejections are maintained.